OPAP 42 JUL 012010 W

Application No: 09/677,493

Appeal No: <u>2009-1164</u> Filing Date: 10/02/2000

Application Title: "Integrated Database Data Editing System"
Applicant: George Guang Yang (Previous used name: Guang Yang)

Examiner: Baoquoc N. To, Art Unit 2162

392 Hans Way San Jose, CA 95133

Tel: (408) 729-1282 E-mail: guangyang14@hotmail.com

June 29, 2010

Mr. Baoquoc N. To Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Reply to Your "Response to Rule 312 Communication"

Dear Mr. To.

This letter is to reply to your "Response to Rule 312 Communication" mailed to me on June 22, 2010. I could not find the fee for amendment under Rule 37 CFR 1.21. If I need to pay any amendment fee, please let me know the exact rule and amount.

Enclosed are the clean version of amended new Claims 1-5 and a floppy disk. I canceled claims 1 & 3 and rewrite claims 2 & 4-7 as you advised in the earlier communications.

I sent you a letter and the clean version and marked version of the amended specification of my patent application with original Claims 1-7 and an electric floppy disk with the clean version files on June 24, 2010. I have made some minor amendments to the specification including claims and figures by adding or deleting some detail descriptions of my invention, but I have not introduced any new matter. I insist that all Claims 1-7 are patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and cannot be rejected under U.S.C. § 103(a). Claims 1-7 should be all allowed by your office. I believe that the Claims 1-7 structure and dependent relationship is the best way to show the value of this invention. I require your office to approve my amended specification as soon as possible. Once I get your approval, I will pay the patent issue fee of \$755.